Moderation of the association between childhood maltreatment and neuroticism by the corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor 1 gene
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Background: Neuroticism is a personality trait reflecting the tendency to experience negative affect. It is a major risk for psychopathology, especially depression and anxiety disorders. Childhood maltreatment is another major risk factor for psychopathology and may influence personality. Maltreatment may interact with genotype to predict developmental outcomes. Variation in three polymorphisms of the CRHR1 gene has been found to moderate the association of childhood maltreatment with depression, and we hypothesized that it would also be linked to neuroticism. Methods: Variation in three CRHR1 SNPs (rs110402, rs242924, rs7209436) was assessed in 339 maltreated and 275 demographically similar nonmaltreated children, who participated in a day camp research program. Maltreated children were further categorized based on the number of types of maltreatment they had experienced and the most severe form of maltreatment experienced. Genotype and maltreatment status were used to predict the Big Five personality traits, as assessed by camp counselors following a week of interaction with children. Results: CRHR1 genotype significantly moderated the association of maltreatment with neuroticism but none of the other traits. Having two copies of the TAT haplotype of CRHR1 was associated with higher levels of neuroticism among maltreated children relative to nonmaltreated children, with the exception of sexually abused children and children who had experienced 3 or 4 types of abuse. Effects sizes of these interactions ranged from $\eta^2 = .01$ ($p = .02$) to $\eta^2 = .03$ ($p = .006$). Conclusions: Variation in CRHR1 moderates the association of maltreatment with neuroticism. The effects of specific types of maltreatment on neuroticism are differentially moderated by CRHR1 genotype, as are the effects of experiencing more or fewer types of maltreatment. Keywords: Neuroticism, CRHR1, maltreatment, genetics, personality, HPA axis. Abbreviations: CRHR1: corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor 1; CTQ: Childhood Trauma Questionnaire; CCQ: California Child Q-Sort; TDA: trait descriptive adjectives; DHS: Department of Human Services.

Maltreatment in childhood has dramatic developmental consequences, including a large increase in risk for psychopathology throughout life (Cicchetti & Valentino, 2006; Widom et al., 2007). This risk is likely to be mediated by changes in the chronic patterns of emotional, behavioral, and cognitive functioning of the child – in other words, by changes in personality. Indeed, maltreated children display pervasive differences in personality, relative to nonmaltreated children (Cicchetti & Rogosch, 2007; Rogosch & Cicchetti, 2004). However, not every child responds to maltreatment in the same manner, and a crucial project is the identification of risk and protective factors for maladaptive sequelae of maltreatment (Cicchetti, 2010). Increasingly, attention is being focused on genetic variation as a moderator of the effects of maltreatment on risk for psychopathology (e.g., Caspi et al., 2002; Kim-Cohen et al., 2006). The present study examined moderation of the effect of maltreatment on personality by a key gene in the stress reactivity system.

Personality psychology is beginning to make significant advances in the identification of biological systems that underlie different personality traits (DeYoung & Gray, 2009; DeYoung et al., 2010). The most widely used and well-validated taxonomy of personality in adulthood is the five-factor model or Big Five (John, Naumann, & Soto, 2008), and this taxonomy appears to provide an effective model of childhood personality as well, especially once it is linked to a theory of the psychological and biological functions that underlie each trait (Caspi & Shiner, 2006; DeYoung, 2010; Shiner & DeYoung, in press). The five dimensions of personality described by the Big Five are extraversion, neuroticism, conscientiousness, agreeableness, and openness/intellect. Maltreatment has been associated with differences in all of these dimensions except extraversion (Rogosch & Cicchetti, 2004). The current study focused specifically on neuroticism, which reflects the tendency to experience negative emotion. Neuroticism encompasses a variety of subtraits including anxiety, depression, irritability, self-consciousness, emotional lability, and emotional dysregulation, and it has been linked to the biological
Neuroticism is a risk for most forms of psychopathology, with an especially strong relation to anxiety and depression (Fanous, Neale, Aggen, & Kendler, 2007; Malouff, Thorsteinsson, & Schutte, 2005). Further, it accounts for much of the genetic risk for mood disorders (Kendler, Gatz, Gardner, & Pedersen, 2006). One recent study indicated that the economic costs of neuroticism for society are even greater than the costs of common mental disorders and somatic disorders, in part because neuroticism is associated not only with those disorders but also with personality disorders and somatoform disorders (Cuipers et al., 2010). Another recent study suggests that neuroticism is hard to distinguish statistically from the shared risk factor for mood and anxiety disorders that has been labeled ‘Internalizing’ (Griffith et al., 2009). The biological systems involved in neuroticism are thus of great importance in the etiology of internalizing disorders. Reactivity to stress is a major characteristic of neuroticism, and the biological systems responsible for stress reactivity have been associated with neuroticism, primarily through studies of the stress hormone cortisol (DeYoung & Gray, 2009). Genes involved in the systems that respond to stress are thus important candidates for studies of the genetic sources of neuroticism, as well as for studies of genetic moderation of the effects of major stressors like maltreatment. The present study examined the association of neuroticism with variation in a gene that plays an important role in the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, the major biological system for stress response.

Corticotropin-releasing hormone is the key activator of the HPA axis, binding to receptors that initiate the stress response, culminating with release of cortisol from the adrenal cortex. Cortisol is a component of the corticotropin–adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH)–cortisol system, which is crucial in the regulation of the stress response, culminating with release of cortisol from the adrenal cortex. Cortisol regulates the HPA axis, which regulates adrenal gland function.

The present study therefore undertook to examine the influence of CRHR1 variation on neuroticism in interaction with maltreatment, in a large sample of maltreated children and a well-matched nonmaltreated comparison group. Two previous studies have reported moderation of the effects of maltreatment on depression by a three-allele haplotype of CRHR1, involving the SNPs rs7209436, rs110402, and rs242924. In both studies, the TAT haplotype was protective against depression for individuals who were severely maltreated (Bradley et al., 2008; Polanczyk et al., 2009). These findings led us to predict that the TAT haplotype might be associated with lower neuroticism in severely maltreated children.

Additionally, in our sample we were able to address some of the limitations of the previous studies. Most importantly, the effect of CRHR1 in those studies was found in only three of the four samples examined. Of particular interest is the fact that the three samples producing the effect all used the same retrospective assessment of maltreatment, the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ; Bernstein & Fink, 1998), whereas the one failure to replicate did not use the CTQ, but rather used a combination of prospective and retrospective assessments (Polanczyk et al., 2009). This failure to replicate is particularly notable because it occurred in a large, representative population sample, and thus is unlikely to be due to sampling variability or lack of power. This suggests that the gene by environment (G×E) interaction effect may be dependent in some way on the manner in which maltreatment is assessed.

Further, it is possible that the type of abuse constituting maltreatment is important in determining the effect of CRHR1 on depression. Previous studies have found that different types of maltreatment can have strikingly different outcomes, particularly in relation to functions of the HPA axis. Studies of cortisol levels in maltreated children have found different effects based on the presence or absence of physical or sexual abuse relative to other forms of maltreatment (Cicchetti & Rogosch, 2001, 2007; Cicchetti, Rogosch, Gunnar, & Toth, 2010). The present study therefore examined individuals according to whether their most severe form of maltreatment had been emotional maltreatment or neglect, physical abuse, or sexual abuse, using a sample that surmounted some of the limitations of previous CRHR1 studies in several ways. First, the sample was composed of maltreated children and closely matched nonmaltreated children from the same demographic, socioeconomic, and geographic background. Second, children were assessed for maltreatment based on information obtained during childhood, rather than retrospectively as adults. Third, maltreatment was assessed from records of the Department of Human Services (DHS) using a rigorous coding scheme developed by Barnett, Manly, and Cicchetti (1993). Because of the different patterns of HPA axis dysfunction that have been seen in different subtypes of maltreatment, we hypothesized that subtype, in addition to general severity of maltreatment without regard to subtype, might be an important moderator of the effects of CRHR1 on neuroticism.

To test this hypothesis, we performed three analyses, characterizing maltreatment in three different ways. First, all maltreated children were compared together against the nonmaltreated comparison group. Second, maltreatment was broken down...
according to whether children had experienced 1 or 2 forms of maltreatment (some maltreatment) or 3 or 4 forms of maltreatment (severe maltreatment). This procedure is directly analogous to the procedure used by Polanczyk et al. (2009) to determine the severity of maltreatment. Third, maltreatment was broken down according to the type of maltreatment received. Children were classified according to whether they had (a) experienced emotional maltreatment and/or neglect only; (b) experienced physical abuse (with or without emotional abuse or neglect); or (c) experienced sexual abuse (with or without emotional abuse, neglect, or physical abuse). Finally, because relatively few children had been sexually abused, and because sexual abuse was associated with a distinctive pattern of neuroticism scores, we examined effects after excluding sexually abused children.

Materials and methods

Participants

Participants were 624 children from an urban setting in upstate New York, who attended a day camp research program. Ten children were not assessed for personality, leaving 614 for the present analysis. Of this sample, 339 were maltreated. Children ranged in age from 8 to 13 years (mean = 11.3, SD = 1.0). The sample was ethnically and racially diverse, with 68% Black, 10% White, 18% Hispanic, and 4% other. The Add Health system for coding race and ethnicity was used (http://www.cpc.unc.edu/projects/addhealth/data/code/race; accessed April 11, 2010), with the exception that ‘American Indian’ was coded as ‘other’ because only two participants were identified as such.

The day camp program was designed for comparison of developmental processes and functioning in maltreated and nonmaltreated, low-income, disadvantaged children (Cicchetti & Manly, 1990). A liaison from the DHS contacted families with a child meeting research criteria, provided information about the camp and associated research, and asked families for written permission to have their names released to project staff. (For reasons of confidentiality, the DHS liaison was not able to provide information regarding families who were not interested in participation.) Subsequently, parents of all participating children provided informed consent for their child’s participation, as well as consent for examination of any DHS records associated with the family; children provided assent. Children attended the camp free of charge and received small prizes for completing research measures; mothers received compensation ($25) for completing a research interview. The procedures in this investigation were approved by the Research Subjects Review Board of the University of Rochester.

Children in the maltreated group were recruited based on DHS records indicating they had experienced maltreatment. Those in the nonmaltreated group did not have records of maltreatment and were additionally screened through checks of the child abuse registry and interviews with their mothers utilizing the Maternal Maltreatment Classification Interview (Cicchetti, Toth, & Manly, 2003) to verify lack of DHS involvement and absence of maltreatment experiences. Families who received preventive services through DHS due to concerns over risk for maltreatment were excluded from the study to avoid inclusion of children with unidentified maltreatment in the comparison group.

Children attended a week-long day camp program and participated in research assessments. At the camp, children were assigned to groups of eight (4 maltreated, 4 nonmaltreated) same-age and same-sex peers. Each group was led by three trained camp counselors, who were unaware of the maltreatment status of children and the hypotheses of the study. Camp lasted 7 hrs/day for five days, providing 35 hours of interaction between children and counselors.

Maltreatment

Descriptions of maltreatment in DHS records were used to identify, for each child, the presence of sexual abuse, physical abuse, neglect, and/or emotional maltreatment. Trained raters coded DHS records using the operational criteria of the Maltreatment Classification System (Barnett et al., 1993), a well-validated approach for classifying maltreatment experiences. Among the maltreated children, 8.6% had experienced sexual abuse, 28.6% physical abuse, 78.5% neglect, and 52.2% emotional maltreatment; most children (59.1%) had experienced more than one type of maltreatment. Given the lower occurrence of sexual abuse and physical abuse, as well as differences in the extent to which different types of maltreatment violate societal norms (Manly, Cicchetti, & Barnett, 1994), a hierarchical ranking was used to designate a primary maltreatment subtype for each child. This hierarchy ranks four subtypes of abuse from most to least violative, in the following order: sexual abuse, physical abuse, neglect, emotional maltreatment. Children were assigned a primary subtype based on the most severe violation of societal norms they had experienced. Thus, children who experienced sexual abuse were classified as sexually abused regardless of whether they additionally experienced other forms of maltreatment; children who were physically abused without sexual abuse were classified as physically abused, and all remaining children were classified in a neglect/emotional maltreatment group. Of the maltreated children, 29 (8.6%; 20 girls, 9 boys) were classified as sexually abused, 82 (24.2%; 36 girls, 46 boys) were classified as physically abused, and 206 (60.8%; 105 girls, 101 boys) were classified in the neglect/emotional maltreatment group (without physical or sexual abuse). The majority of children in the sexual and physical abuse groups also had been neglected or emotionally maltreated. For 22 maltreated participants, the DHS information was not sufficiently complete to code the subtype of maltreatment; these children were excluded from analyses using maltreatment subgroups. Inter-rater agreement (kappa) for the presence of each subtype ranged from .72 to 1.0.

Personality

The Big Five personality traits were assessed using two instruments: the Big Five scales derived from the California Child Q-sort (CCQ; John, Caspi, Robins, Moffitt, & Stouthamer-Loeber, 1994) and a set of 46 trait...
descriptive adjectives (TDA) designed for assessment of the Big Five in children (Hagekull & Bohlin, 1998). The CCQ comprises 100 personality descriptive items that are sorted according to a fixed distribution into 9 categories, representing the degree to which each is characteristic of the child. The TDA comprises 46 items rated on a 5-point Likert scale. Each of these two instruments was completed by two adult camp counselors, trained in use of the instruments but unaware of research hypotheses and maltreatment status, after a week (35 hours) of extensive observation and interaction with participants. Inter-rater agreement was high, with the average intraclass correlation among pairs of raters ranging from .85 to .87 for the CCQ and from .74 to .89 for the TDA scales. Ratings for each item by each of the two raters were averaged before deriving scale scores for each instrument.

Big Five scores from the CCQ and TDA were standardized separately in order to combine scores across the two instruments. The standardized scores were then averaged, restandardized, and centered by adding 1 (recentering was performed for clarity of graphical representation). Composite scores from these two inventories were very reliable, with Cronbach’s alphas as follows: Extraversion: .95 (18 items), Agreeableness: .96 (25 items), Conscientiousness: .91 (18 items), Neuroticism: .90 (20 items), Openness/Intellect: .75 (10 items). (The lower alpha for openness/intellect is attributable to its relatively fewer items.) Three items (one each from Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, and Openness/Intellect) were excluded from the calculation of trait scores because their correlations with the scale total (calculated without the item in question) were near zero and their inclusion reduced Cronbach’s alpha. Scores calculated without these items correlated at .99 or higher with scores including them.

Items in the Neuroticism scale from the CCQ were ‘Is nervous and fearful; ‘Worries about things for a long time; ‘Freezes up when things are stressful, or else keeps doing the same thing over and over; ‘Can bounce back or recover after a stressful or bad experience’ (reversed); ‘Tends to go to pieces under stress; gets rattled when things are tough’; ‘Needs to have people tell him or her that he or she is doing well or ok; is not very sure of him- or herself; ‘Tends to get sick when things go wrong or when there is a lot of stress. (For example, gets headaches, stomach aches, throws up,); ‘Gets nervous if he or she is not sure what’s going to happen or when it’s not clear what he or she supposed to do; ‘Feels unworthy; has a low opinion of him- or herself; and ‘His or her feelings get hurt easily if he or she is made fun of or criticized.’ Items in the neuroticism scale from the TDA were ‘nervous,’ ‘tense,’ ‘anxious,’ ‘worries about things,’ ‘fearful,’ ‘relaxed’ (reversed), ‘content’ (reversed), ‘self-confident’ (reversed), ‘oversensitive,’ and ‘calm and stable’ (reversed). Clearly, there is partial but not complete overlap between neuroticism and symptoms of depression. As noted above, neuroticism shows stronger overlap with the more general internalizing construct than with depression specifically (Griffith et al., 2009).

Genotyping

Human genomic DNA was collected from all children using the Buccal Amp Kit (Epicentre, Cat. No. BQ0901SSC) and amplified using the Repli-g kit (Qiagen, Catalog No. 150043) per the kit instructions. DNA was whole-genome amplified to ensure the availability of data over the long term for this valuable sample. Amplified samples were then diluted to a working concentration and genotyped using assays for SNPs rs110402, rs242924, and rs7209436 purchased from Applied Biosystems, Inc. (ABI) as C 2544843 10, C2257689 10, and C 1570087 10, respectively. Individual allele determinations were made using TaqMan Genotyping Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Catalog 4371357) with amplification in an ABI 9700 thermal cycler and analyzing the endpoint fluorescence using a Tecan M200.

If a genotype was unable to be determined after the first run, then it was repeated up to 4 times. If the null result persisted, then the whole-genome amplification reaction was repeated along with subsequent genotyping until a genotype could be confidently assigned to a participant. The resultant genotyping data were subjected to quadratic discriminant analysis using JMP statistical software from SAS. Samples with a predicted probability of .95 or less were repeated. The call rates for the 3 SNPs for CRHR1 were all 100% determined. There were no missing results.

Control samples were identified for these CRHR1 polymorphisms using DTCS chemistry on an ABI 3130xl. These results were confirmed using the TagMan SNP genotyping reagents used in this study and run with each sample set as positive and negative controls for each allele.

None of the three SNPs deviated significantly from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (rs110402: \( \chi^2(1) = 10, p = .75 \); rs242924: \( \chi^2(1) = .00, p = .98 \); and rs7209436: \( \chi^2(1) = .15, p = .70 \)). Haplotypes for the three SNPs were determined using Haplo Stata 1.4.0 (Sinnwell & Schaid, 2008). Because the three SNPs were very strongly correlated (all \( r > .94 \) ) Haplo Stats was able to estimate haplotypes for every participant with a posterior probability greater than .998, which allowed us to assign a score of 0, 1, or 2 copies of the TAT haplotype to every participant with a very high degree of certainty. The TAT haplotype accounted for 32% of all haplotypes in the sample, with its complement, CGG, accounting for an additional 65%. Number of TAT haplotypes was used in ANOVA to predict neuroticism from haplotype score in interaction with maltreatment status.

Table 1 shows allele and haplotype frequencies for the sample, comparing maltreated and nonmaltreated children. The two groups did not differ by genotype (number of TAT haplotypes), \( \chi^2(2) = 1.05, p = .59 \), which indicates absence of gene–environment correlation. In other words, CRHR1 genotype did not influence the likelihood that children would be maltreated.

Results

Table 2 shows genotypes according to maltreatment status, sex, and genotype. Sex was not significantly associated with number of TAT haplotypes, \( \chi^2(2) = .71, p = .70 \), or with most severe type of maltreatment \( \chi^2(3) = 5.44, p = .14 \), or with neuroticism, \( F(1) = .01, p = .94 \). Race was not significantly associated with genotype, \( \chi^2(6) = 9.73, p = .14 \), or with neuroticism, \( F(3) = 1.39, p = .24 \). However, race was
sociability, and Openness were higher in maltreated children but did not differ from nonmaltreated children. Intelligence scores were similarly elevated in maltreated children. There was some evidence that maltreated boys were more impulsive than nonmaltreated boys. These results demonstrate that maltreatment is associated with several important domains of child functioning.

To assess the effects of 

and maltreated children with two copies of the TAT haplotype showed higher neuroticism than all other groups (Figure 1a).

In the second analysis (Table 4), maltreatment status was coded according to number of types of maltreatment, as in previous research (Polanczyk et al., 2009). Three or 4 types of maltreatment were considered most severe, followed by 1 or 2 types of maltreatment, and finally no maltreatment. Here, too, the interaction of maltreatment with 
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Table 1 Genotype and haplotype frequencies for three CRHR1 SNPs by maltreatment status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Maltreated (N = 339)</th>
<th>Nonmaltreated (N = 275)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs7209436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>157 (46%)</td>
<td>110 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>146 (45%)</td>
<td>133 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>36 (11%)</td>
<td>32 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs110402</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>155 (45%)</td>
<td>115 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG</td>
<td>150 (45%)</td>
<td>127 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>34 (10%)</td>
<td>33 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs242924</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>160 (47%)</td>
<td>117 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT</td>
<td>146 (43%)</td>
<td>125 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>33 (10%)</td>
<td>33 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAT haplotype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 copies</td>
<td>164 (48%)</td>
<td>120 (44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 copy</td>
<td>142 (42%)</td>
<td>124 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 copies</td>
<td>33 (10%)</td>
<td>31 (11%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 CRHR1 TAT haplotype frequencies by sex and race/ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex/Race/ Ethnicity</th>
<th>Maltreated (N = 339)</th>
<th>Nonmaltreated (N = 275)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAT 0</td>
<td>TAT 1</td>
<td>TAT 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The interaction effects for number of types and most severe type of maltreatment survived Bonferroni correction, and the interaction effect for the comparison of maltreated vs. nonmaltreated was marginal when corrected (p = .07). However, a standard Bonferroni correction is strongly overly conservative in this case because the three tests were extremely non-independent, as all three simply reconfigure the maltreatment variable. Nonetheless, the p-values are small enough that two survive even the overly conservative correction.
Table 5 and Figure 2 (the analysis of subtypes presented in Figure 1c is not represented in Figure 2 because means for other groups remained the same after exclusion of the sexually abused group). Interactions between CRHR1 and maltreatment remained significant in both.

Discussion

The association of childhood maltreatment with neuroticism was found to be moderated by variation in the CRHR1 gene. Children with two copies of the TAT haplotype had different levels of neuroticism depending on whether they had been maltreated or not and on the most severe type and the number of types of maltreatment they had experienced. This G×E interaction accounted for between 1 and 3% of the variance in neuroticism. This is not a large effect, but it is fairly typical for size of effect of variation in single genes on strongly polygenic traits like neuroticism or depression. Because CRHR1 produces the corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor, a key component of the HPA axis, this finding is consistent with the theory that the HPA axis is an important biological substrate of neuroticism (DeYoung & Gray, 2009).

When maltreatment was categorized according to the number of types of maltreatment that had been
experienced (as it was previously in two studies of CRHR1 and depression; Bradley et al., 2008; Polanczyk et al., 2009), having two copies of the TAT haplotype was associated with heightened levels of neuroticism in children who had experienced 1 or 2 types of maltreatment, but not among those who had experienced 3 or 4 types of maltreatment. The fact that children who had experienced 3 or 4 types of maltreatment had levels of neuroticism similar to those of the nonmaltreated group (Figure 1b) is reminiscent of the protective effect of the TAT haplotype on depression seen in previous research (Bradley et al., 2008; Polanczyk et al., 2009). This inconsistency may reflect the possibility that most severe type of maltreatment, as well as number of types of maltreatment, is important in determining the effects of the TAT haplotype. It also may reflect the possibility that whether the TAT haplotype is a protective or risk factor depends on the exact nature of the maltreatment experienced.

Our results suggest that having two copies of the TAT haplotype puts maltreated children at risk for higher levels of neuroticism (and hence at greater risk for depression and other internalizing disorders), unless they have been sexually abused or have experienced 3 or 4 different types of maltreatment, in which case they may be protected from increased neuroticism. The fact that both number of types and most severe type of maltreatment interacted with CRHR1 genotype to predict neuroticism may be informative for studies of CRHR1 and depression. Such studies have been inconsistent in finding that the TAT haplotype is protective against depression in adults who experienced 3 or 4 types of abuse as children (Polanczyk et al., 2009). This inconsistency may reflect the possibility that most severe type of maltreatment, as well as number of types of maltreatment, is important in determining the effects of the TAT haplotype. It may also reflect the possibility that whether the TAT haplotype is a protective or risk factor depends on the exact nature of the maltreatment experienced.

The present findings raise a number of questions for future research. Why CRHR1 should be protective for individuals who experience 3 or 4 types of abuse but not 1 or 2 certainly warrants further study. Why sexual abuse should have unique effects, in interaction with genotype, is also an important question. Previous research has shown differences in HPA axis dysfunction among maltreated children depending on whether or not they have been sexually abused (Cicchetti & Rogosch, 2001, 2007; Cicchetti, Rogosch, Gunnar, & Toth, 2010). These differences may involve the corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor produced by CRHR1.

One limitation of the present study is the relatively small number of sexually abused children (four) with two copies of the TAT haplotype. The small size of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of types of maltreatment</th>
<th>Maltreatment subtype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>df</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltreatment</td>
<td>7.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRHR1 TAT haplotypes</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltreatment × TAT haplotypes</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 Level of neuroticism (with standard error of the mean) associated with number of types of maltreatment experienced, depending on number of TAT haplotypes of the CRHR1 gene, excluding sexually abused participants.

this subgroup greatly limits ability to generalize from this finding to other sexually abused children and suggests that our results should be replicated before concluding that sexually abused children with this genotype show particularly low levels of neuroticism. Notably, however, the standard error of the mean for this group was not large, indicating that all four children in this group were consistent in their level of neuroticism. Regardless of conclusions regarding this small subgroup, our results indicate that increased neuroticism associated with the TAT haplotype is not present in all maltreatment conditions.

Several issues must be considered when comparing our findings to research on depression in adults. Most obvious is that neuroticism is not identical to depression, despite its relation to the general risk for depression and other internalizing disorders (Griffith et al., 2009). Future studies should directly examine the effects of CRHR1 on neuroticism in adults and on depression in children. Additionally, HPA-axis function changes over time in chronically stressed individuals (Gunnar & Vazquez, 2001; Miller, Chen, & Zhou, 2007), which could affect the influence of CRHR1 and render genetic effects in children different from effects in adults. Longitudinal effects should be considered in future research on the interaction of CRHR1 genotype with maltreatment. Finally, previous research has been in more racially homogeneous samples, whereas our sample was of diverse ethnic and racial background, which complicates genetic research. However, population stratification is unlikely to be responsible for our results, given that effects of CRHR1 on depression have previously been found in both White and African-American samples (Bradley et al., 2008; Polanczyk et al., 2009), that race was not associated with either CRHR1 genotype or neuroticism, and that all of our analyses controlled for race.

**Conclusion**

Childhood maltreatment is a serious problem with extreme social and personal costs. Maltreatment typically leads to less resilient functioning and increased risk for many forms of psychopathology (Cicchetti & Rogosch, 2007; Cicchetti & Valentino, 2006; Widom, DuMont, & Czaja, 2007). However, the sequelae of maltreatment are manifest in different ways in different children, and the influence of genetic variation on response to maltreatment is a crucial topic for research. Genes involved in the HPA axis, the primary biological system for response to stress, are promising candidates for moderators of the effects of maltreatment on personality and psychopathology. The current study contributes to a growing body of evidence that variation in the CRHR1 gene moderates the effects of childhood maltreatment. It also provides evidence that the personality trait neuroticism is associated with genetic variation related to the HPA axis.
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**Key points**

- A haplotype of the CRHR1 gene has previously been found to moderate the effects of childhood maltreatment on adult depression.
- Neuroticism is a personality trait reflecting the tendency to experience negative affect, and it is strongly related to risk for internalizing disorders, including depression.
- The present study found that CRHR1 haplotype moderated the effects of maltreatment on neuroticism, in childhood.
- The effect of this haplotype on neuroticism was dependent not just on presence of maltreatment, but also on most severe type of maltreatment and number of types of maltreatment.
- The effect of CRHR1 variation on neuroticism is consistent with the hypothesis that the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis is an important biological substrate of this personality trait.
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